

Scoring Rubric	Reviewer Evaluation Notes	Score
<b>Section 1 - Qualifications of Firm</b>		<b>30</b>
<p><b>1.1 CDBG-DR Experience – 10 pts</b></p> <p>Evaluates demonstrated prime contractor experience in CDBG-DR funded residential programs, including calendar-year participation, unit counts, and award types.</p> <p>Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of distinct CDBG-DR programs (calendar years)</li> <li>• Total homes completed (rehab, recon, MHU)</li> <li>• Prime contractor role</li> <li>• Documented outcomes (cycle times, compliance performance)</li> </ul> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 10 pts: Extensive CDBG-DR experience (3+ programs), &gt;150 homes, documented outcomes (build time, quality, compliance, multi-year participation).</li> <li>• Up to 7 pts: Moderate CDBG-DR experience (1–2 programs), 50–150 homes, adequate outcomes.</li> <li>• Up to 4 pts: Minimal CDBG-DR experience (&lt;1 full program or &lt;50 homes).</li> <li>• 0 pts: No relevant CDBG-DR experience.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>1.2 Organizational Strength &amp; Capacity – 10 pts</b></p> <p>Evaluates firm’s ability to scale, manage, and staff a large scattered-site program.</p> <p>Consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corporate structure and ownership transparency</li> <li>• Local field offices and Florida presence</li> <li>• Total employee count and field staffing</li> <li>• Demonstrated surge capacity</li> <li>• Ability to manage concurrent assignments</li> <li>• Firm is financially solvent</li> </ul> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 10 pts: Clear operational capability with strong local presence and demonstrated surge capacity.</li> <li>• Up to 7 pts: Sufficient capability with moderate local presence.</li> <li>• Up to 4 pts: Limited capacity evidence or unclear local staffing plan.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Insufficient capacity.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>1.3 Past Performance &amp; References – 10 pts [ Reference RFP Reference Response Document ]</b></p> <p>Evaluates performance on similar programs including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timeliness and production</li> <li>• Quality of work</li> <li>• Davis-Bacon and environmental compliance (LBP/ACM)</li> <li>• History of involuntary terminations or defaults</li> <li>• Reference quality</li> </ul> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 10 pts: All references exemplary, no adverse actions.</li> <li>• Up to 7 pts: Good performance with minor issues resolved.</li> <li>• Up to 4 pts: Mixed reference responses, slow schedules, or repeat issues.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Poor references or unresolved adverse history.</li> </ul>		
<b>Section 2 - Qualifications of Staff</b>		<b>20</b>
<p><b>2.1 Project Manager Qualifications – 8 pts</b></p> <p>Evaluates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PM experience in CDBG-DR residential programs</li> <li>• Scattered-site housing management</li> <li>• Reconstruction oversight</li> <li>• Local presence in Pinellas County response area</li> </ul> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 8 pts: PM has 5+ years DR experience &amp; strong production record.</li> <li>• Up to 5 pts: PM has 3–5 years relevant experience.</li> <li>• Up to 3 pts: PM minimally meets requirements.</li> <li>• 0 pts: PM does not meet minimums.</li> </ul>		

<p><b>2.2 Superintendent &amp; Key Personnel – 8 pts</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Superintendent experience and coverage</li> <li>• Demonstrated ≤8:1 jobsite-to-superintendent ratio</li> <li>• Dedicated roles for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Permitting/Preconstruction</li> <li>o Warranty</li> <li>o Homeowner Liaisons / Customer Service</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 8 pts: Fully staffed; Highly qualified team with CDBG-DR background.</li> <li>• Up to 5 pts: Qualified team with some disaster-related experience.</li> <li>• Up to 3 pts: Minimal demonstrated experience.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Team qualifications insufficient.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>2.3 Safety Record &amp; EMR – 4 pts</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insurance-verified EMR (last 5 years)</li> <li>• Dedicated safety manager</li> <li>• Written safety program</li> </ul> <p>Scoring:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 4 pts: EMR consistently &lt;1.0 and strong safety program.</li> <li>• Up to 3 pts: EMR around 1.0 with adequate safety program.</li> <li>• Up to 2 pt: EMR slightly above 1.0 or limited documentation.</li> <li>• 0 pts: EMR indicates significant safety risk.</li> </ul>		
<b>Section 3 - Technical Approach</b>		<b>20</b>
<p><b>3.1 Rehab Approach – 7 pts</b></p> <p>Evaluates ability to execute:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tier II ERR compliance</li> <li>• LBP/ACM abatement</li> <li>• Standard-grade replacement requirements</li> <li>• 30/60/90-day rehab schedule adherence</li> </ul> <p>Assesses: Detailed approach to the management of resources for this, including how compliance with Program Guidelines, Florida Building Codes, Municipal Building Codes, applicable federal regulations, and local and/or regional codes and/or land use regulations will be ensured</p> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 7 pts: Highly detailed work plan tailored to program rules.</li> <li>• Up to 5 pts: Sufficient detail with minor gaps.</li> <li>• Up to 3 pts: High-level narrative only.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Insufficient or generic response.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3.2 Reconstruction Approach – 7 pts</b></p> <p>Evaluates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ability to build to standardized 2-, 3-, 4-bedroom plans</li> <li>• Floodplain &amp; Elevation requirements</li> <li>• Site prep, demolition, surveying, utility coordination</li> <li>• 120-day schedule adherence</li> </ul> <p>Assesses: Detailed approach to the management of resources for this, including how compliance with Program Guidelines, Florida Building Codes, Municipal Building Codes, applicable federal regulations, and local and/or regional codes and/or land use regulations will be ensured</p> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 7 pts: Detailed, realistic, fully compliant plan.</li> <li>• Up to 5 pts: Generally adequate plan.</li> <li>• Up to 3 pts: Limited detail or unclear sequencing.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Non-responsive.</li> </ul>		

<p><b>3.3 MHU Replacement Approach – 3 pts (up to 17 pts for MHU only contractors - no points provided to Rehab or Recon Approach)</b></p> <p>Evaluates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sourcing HUD-certified Wind Zone 3 units</li> <li>• Demolition &amp; pad preparation</li> <li>• Tie-down, utility hookups, title work</li> <li>• 60-day schedule compliance</li> </ul> <p>Assesses: Detailed approach to the management of resources for this, including how compliance with Program Guidelines, Florida Building Codes, Municipal Building Codes, applicable federal regulations, and local and/or regional codes and/or land use regulations will be ensured</p> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 3 pts: Fully detailed and compliant.</li> <li>• Up to 2 pts: Mostly compliant with minor gaps.</li> <li>• Up to 1 pt: Limited detail.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Not addressed.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3.4 Homeowner Communication &amp; Customer Service – 2 pts</b></p> <p>Assesses ability to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Coordinate pre-construction meetings</li> <li>• Document twice-weekly contact in Canopy™</li> <li>• Maintain informed customer service</li> </ul> <p>Evaluates: Detailed approach to the management of resources for this</p> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 2 pts: Strong methods and tracking system.</li> <li>• Up to 1 pt: Adequate approach.</li> <li>• Up to 0.5 pts: Minimal description.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Not addressed.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3.5 Warranty &amp; Closeout – 1 pts</b></p> <p>Evaluates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One-year workmanship and 10-year structural warranty process</li> <li>• Closeout documentation management</li> </ul> <p>Assesses: Detailed approach to the management of resources for this</p> <p><b>Scoring:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 1 pt: Complete and well-defined process.</li> <li>• Up to 0.5 pts: General commitment but limited detail.</li> <li>• 0 pts: Not addressed.</li> </ul>		